

# Contrasting Leadership Paradigms: The Clash Between Indigenous African Leadership Systems and Eurocentric Democratic Governance in Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda

<https://doi.org/10.36369/2616-9045/2024/v13i2a4>  
Online ISSN: 2616-9045. Print ISSN: 2218-5615

**JOSHUA MAWERE**

Tshwane University of Technology  
[Joshuamawerem@gmail.com](mailto:Joshuamawerem@gmail.com)

**RICKY MUNYARADZI MUKONZA**

Tshwane University of Technology  
[MukonzaRM@tut.ac.za](mailto:MukonzaRM@tut.ac.za)

## Abstract

This study delves into the dynamic interplay between traditional African leadership paradigms and imposed democratic systems, with a focus on the extended tenures of Robert Mugabe, Yoweri Museveni and Paul Kagame. Anchored in post-colonial theory, political culture theory and leadership theory, it elucidates the cultural underpinnings that contribute to leaders' reluctance to cede power and their subsequent impact on democratic governance. Employing a qualitative methodology with in-depth case studies, the study reveals a profound conflict between entrenched traditional norms and modern democratic ideals. The findings underscore the persistent influence of traditional leadership practices characterised by authoritarianism and electoral manipulation. This study situates these findings within the broader legacy of colonialism and the ongoing struggle for democratic consolidation, offering a nuanced understanding of the cultural dimensions of political leadership in Africa. The study proposes directions for future research and policy interventions aimed at enhancing political stability and democratic governance across the continent.

**Keywords:** African leadership, Democratic governance, Colonialism, Electoral manipulation, Post-colonial Africa

## Introduction

The persistent phenomenon of African leaders clinging to power, often beyond constitutionally mandated term limits, presents a significant challenge to democratisation



in many African states. This study aims to delve into this issue's cultural and historical underpinnings. The cases of Robert Mugabe in Zimbabwe, Yoweri Museveni in Uganda and Paul Kagame in Rwanda serve as focal points for examining how traditional indigenous leadership systems, which often prioritise lineage and lifelong appointments, intersect and conflict with Eurocentric democratic ideals that emphasise elections and term limits.

Historically, the imposition of Eurocentric governance models in Africa has often been at odds with indigenous political structures. Colonial administrations introduced these models with little regard for existing systems of governance, fundamentally altering political landscapes and engendering a legacy of tension between traditional practices and modern statecraft. Scholars such as Mamdani (1996) highlighted how colonial rule reinforced and manipulated indigenous structures to establish indirect rule, entrenching a bifurcated state system that persists in various forms today. The clash between these paradigms becomes particularly evident in the context of political leadership and governance.

Indigenous African leadership systems are typically characterised by a blend of hereditary succession, communal consensus and lifelong tenure (Ayittey, 2016). Such systems were designed to ensure stability, continuity and respect for established hierarchies within communities. However, these attributes often stand in stark contrast to the principles of modern democratic governance, which advocate for regular, competitive elections, accountability and the rotation of power. This dichotomy presents a complex challenge in post-colonial African states striving to establish robust democratic institutions.

The extended tenures of leaders such as Mugabe, Museveni and Kagame can be partially attributed to a cultural dissonance (Swana, 2020). Mugabe's nearly four-decade rule in Zimbabwe, Museveni's prolonged presidency in Uganda since 1986 and Kagame's tenure in Rwanda since 2000 illustrate a recurring pattern where leaders exploit traditional leadership norms to justify their continued grip on power. Kagame, in particular, has overseen constitutional amendments to extend his rule, which, combined with a highly centralised government and limited political opposition, highlights the ways in which traditional leadership structures are adapted within modern political systems to justify extended leadership (Swana, 2020). These actions often result in election manipulation, suppression of political opposition and manipulation of constitutional laws, all of which undermine democratic processes and diminish public trust.

Moreover, regional bodies like the Southern African Development Community (SADC) and the African Union (AU) have been criticised for their ineffectiveness in addressing these issues. Their responses are often seen as tepid, driven by a reluctance to intervene in the internal affairs of member states and a possible shared understanding of indigenous leadership norms (Muntschick, 2018). This lack of decisive action perpetuates a cycle of entrenched leadership, impeding the progress of democratic reforms and governance.



Understanding the influence of traditional leadership systems on modern governance is crucial for informing policy and fostering democratic reforms in Africa. By examining the intricate interplay between indigenous practices and Eurocentric democratic ideals, this study sheds light on the root causes of prolonged leadership tenures and provides a nuanced perspective on the governance challenges facing Zimbabwe, Uganda, and Rwanda. The insights gained can contribute to developing strategies that harmonise traditional and modern governance frameworks, ensuring that democratic principles are respected while acknowledging cultural contexts.

## **Theoretical Framework**

This study employs a multifaceted theoretical framework encompassing Post-colonial Theory, Political Culture Theory and Leadership Theory to examine the clash between indigenous African leadership systems and Eurocentric democratic governance in Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda.

### **Post-colonial Theory**

Post-colonial theory provides a critical lens through which to analyse the enduring impact of colonial governance systems on post-independence African nations. Bhabha (1994) elucidated how colonial powers imposed their own political and administrative structures, often disregarding and undermining existing indigenous systems. In Africa, colonial rule introduced Eurocentric models of governance that emphasised centralised authority, codified legal systems and formal electoral processes. These systems often conflicted with the more decentralised, consensus-based, lineage-oriented indigenous structures. Mamdani (1996) argues that colonial powers institutionalised a bifurcated state system, creating a duality between urban, “civilised” governance and rural, “tribal” administration. This duality has persisted into the post-colonial era, contributing to tensions and inconsistencies in governance. In Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda, the imposition of Eurocentric governance systems has clashed with indigenous traditions, leading to hybrid political cultures that reflect both colonial legacies and traditional practices. The prolonged tenures of leaders like Robert Mugabe, Yoweri Museveni and Paul Kagame can be partially understood through the lens of post-colonial theory, which highlights how these leaders have navigated and manipulated the post-colonial state’s hybrid political structures to maintain power (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2013).

### **Political Culture Theory**

Political culture theory explores how deeply rooted cultural norms and historical traditions influence political behaviors and institutions. Almond and Verba (1963) introduced the concept of political culture, emphasising the role of historical experiences and cultural patterns in shaping political attitudes and behaviors. In the African context, scholars such



as Hyden (2006) have examined how precolonial political traditions and social structures continue to influence contemporary political practices. Indigenous African leadership systems, characterised by communal consensus, hierarchical authority and lineage-based succession, contrast sharply with the individualistic, electoral and term-limited models introduced by colonial administrations. The persistence of these traditional norms can be seen in the leadership styles of Mugabe, Museveni and Kagame, who have often invoked cultural legitimacy and historical continuity to justify their extended rule. For example, Museveni has frequently referenced Uganda's precolonial kingdoms and their systems of governance to bolster his political authority (Tripp, 2010). Political culture theory helps explain why attempts to impose Eurocentric democratic models often face resistance and adaptation. The deeply ingrained cultural norms of leadership and governance in these societies shape political behavior and institutional design, leading to a unique interplay between traditional and modern elements (Schatzberg, 2001).

## Leadership Theory

Leadership theory investigates the characteristics and dynamics of leadership within different cultural contexts. Traditional African leadership systems are often hierarchical and lineage-based, with leaders deriving their authority from hereditary succession and communal consensus (Jackson & Rosberg, 1982). In contrast, Eurocentric democratic models emphasise meritocratic leadership, regular elections and accountability. The extended tenures of leaders in Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda can be analysed through the lens of leadership theory, which highlights how leaders adapt to and exploit cultural and institutional contexts to maintain power. Mugabe, for example, used a combination of traditional authority and modern political maneuvering to consolidate his rule, blending elements of both systems to his advantage (Meredith, 2002). Similarly, Museveni has maintained his grip on power by invoking both traditional legitimacy and modern electoral processes, often manipulating the latter to secure his position (Van de Walle, 2003). In Rwanda, Paul Kagame employs a centralised leadership style, blending traditional authority with democratic alterations through constitutional amendments. His approach prioritises stability and order, using democratic frameworks selectively to curtail opposition and sustain his extended tenure (Chutel & Eligon, 2024). Leadership theory thus provides insights into how African leaders navigate the complex interplay between indigenous leadership traditions and the demands of modern democratic governance. This framework helps explain the resilience of authoritarian tendencies in the face of formal democratic institutions, highlighting the need for a nuanced understanding of leadership in different cultural contexts (Northouse, 2018).

## Literature Review

This literature review examines colonial legacies, traditional African leadership systems and political instability to understand their impact on contemporary governance in Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda.



- ***Colonial Legacies***

European colonialism in Africa brought about a varied range of governance systems. Some African territories were governed directly by European powers, while others were ruled indirectly through collaboration with African elites (Young, 2023). The British indirect rule system in Nigeria and the French assimilation policy in West Africa represent two different types of governance systems (Nwaukwa, 2024). The British approach relied on the collaboration of traditional authorities and the use of law and order. On the other hand, the French assimilation system sought to transform African societies by imposing the French culture and political systems on the colonised population (Benjamin, 2024).

One of the significant impacts of European governance systems on African political structures was the weakening of traditional authority systems. The European colonisers undermined the political systems that had existed in Africa for centuries and devalued the role of traditional authorities. These political systems were replaced by the European model of governance, which relied on centralised government structures (Mawere & Tshamano, 2023). The result has been the emergence of authoritarian regimes, characterised by dictatorial rule and the suppression of individual rights. The legacy of Eurocentric governance systems has contributed to the destabilisation of African societies, manifesting in political instability and weak state institutions.

The imposition of colonial boundaries is another critical factor that has had a lasting impact on African political structures. European colonisers drew up national boundaries without regard for pre-existing social, ethnic, or linguistic realities. These boundaries created nation-states among diverse peoples, which often led to tensions and conflicts. The artificial creation of nation-states has also resulted in an inability to address ethnic, tribal, and linguistic divisions that have affected many African countries (Mamdani, 1996).

- ***Traditional African Leadership***

Traditional African leadership has been characterised by hereditary practices, such as kinship and lineage succession, where rulership is passed down through generations within a certain family or clan (Adewale & Schepers, 2023). The hereditary leadership system has been widely used in various communities within Africa. The concept of leadership, in this case, is not only limited to political authority but extends to religious, economic and social realms (Adewale & Schepers, 2023). In many traditional societies, elder men and women are considered knowledgeable, wise and respected members of the community and their opinions hold significant value (Chlouba, 2024). Leaders in traditional African societies have been responsible for maintaining social order, resolving conflicts, preserving cultural values and ensuring the welfare of community members (Mawere & Tshamano, 2023).

The hereditary leadership system represents African cultural values, centered around identity, belonging and respect (Neswiswa & Jacobs, 2024). The system enhances



continuity and stability within communities, which is critical in facilitating the effective transfer of knowledge and culture from one generation to the other (Mawere & Tshamano, 2023). Hereditary leadership practices promote a sense of unity and harmony within communities as leaders are responsible for promoting the welfare of all community members (Manthwa & Ntsoane, 2024). In hereditary systems, leaders are instilled with a sense of purpose and responsibility to protect the collective values of their communities (Manthwa & Ntsoane, 2024). The introduction of a democratic system of governance in Africa has led to a clash between traditional leadership practices and Western democratic models (Aliye, 2020).

In Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda, the influence of Western democracy has led to significant changes in the structure and nature of traditional leadership (Kurebwa, 2020). In each of these countries, the democratic political system has resulted in the centralisation of power on a national level, and, in some cases, at the expense of traditional leadership and practices. In Zimbabwe, the introduction of a constitution in 2013 gave traditional leaders a formal political role (Kurebwa, 2020). However, according to Kurebwa (2020), these traditional leaders serve at the mercy of the ruling party and government, resulting in diminished independence and agency. This diminished independence can result in a conflict of interest between the government and traditional leaders who ultimately seek to maintain their legitimacy within their communities.

The case is different in Uganda, where the introduction of the democratic model has led to a direct threat to traditional leadership structures (Ngcayisa, 2021). The government's efforts to diminish the role of traditional leaders, which resulted from the promotion of technocratic and "modern" administration, undermine the traditional leadership system and the cultural values upon which it was founded (Ngcayisa, 2021). Rwanda presents a distinct example under President Paul Kagame, where centralised governance has reinforced his leadership while also incorporating traditional aspects selectively. Kagame's administration has leveraged cultural values associated with stability and authority to bolster centralised governance, selectively adapting traditional structures to align with the state's interests while limiting the independent influence of local leaders. This approach has strengthened Kagame's control and minimised opposition, demonstrating an intricate balance between traditional values and democratic governance that serves the ruling administration's interests (Reyntjens, 2016).

- ***Political Instability and Coups***

History has shown that prolonged leadership tenures in Africa often result in political instability, with coups being a common occurrence. African nations have faced numerous political upheavals since gaining independence in the mid-20th century, with coups being common. According to a report by the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA), coups have occurred in over half of Africa's countries since 1960 (UNECA, 2019).



The report further highlights that coups continue to be an obstacle to Africa's peace and stability, with the continent recording at least five coups per year over the last decade.

The prevalence of coups in Africa undermines democracy, constitutional order and good governance. Coups often result from prolonged leadership tenures, where leaders are unwilling to relinquish power or manipulate the political process to favor their interests (Asuelime, 2018). A study by the Africa Center for Strategic Studies in 2019 found that sub-Saharan Africa has the highest percentage of Presidents who have been in power for over ten years, with 25% of sitting African Presidents having ruled for more than a decade (Africa Center for Strategic Studies, 2019). The study further highlights that Africa's Presidents who have been in power for more than ten years have a 40% higher risk of being ousted by a coup.

Moreover, the lack of adherence to democratic norms in Africa has been one of the leading causes of coups, with unconstitutional changes of government taking place in several countries (Asuelime, 2018). According to the African Union (AU), unconstitutional government changes, including coups, threaten Africa's stability and development (AU, 2018). The AU has emphasised the need for African countries to respect constitutional order and the rule of law to avoid coups.

In Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda, prolonged leadership tenures highlight many of the concerns outlined in the literature. While these leaders have retained power, Zimbabwe and Uganda have faced significant political unrest. Robert Mugabe's long rule ended in a military-assisted transition in 2017, which, though not classified as a coup, displayed coup-like characteristics and highlighted the fragility of long-term rule. Similarly, Yoweri Museveni's extended presidency in Uganda has led to widespread protests and increased authoritarian measures to curb dissent, though a coup has not occurred. In Rwanda, Paul Kagame has maintained tight control over both the military and political systems, effectively preventing coups. His firm grip on power has minimised internal challenges, reducing the likelihood of political upheaval. These cases exemplify how prolonged leadership can foster instability and authoritarian practices, even if they do not always result in coups, undermining democratic norms and hindering political progress.

## Methodology

The study employs a qualitative research design grounded in comparative analysis to explore the contrasting leadership paradigms in Zimbabwe, Uganda and Rwanda. This design allows for an in-depth understanding of the complexities and nuances of indigenous African leadership systems and Eurocentric democratic governance. Key tools for data collection will include document analysis of secondary sources such as academic journals, historical records, government documents and previous research studies. The benefits and



effectiveness of this qualitative method lie in its ability to provide rich, contextual insights into the leadership practices and political dynamics within each country.

The study can identify patterns, similarities, and differences in how leadership paradigms influence political stability and governance by analysing multiple cases. This method is particularly relevant for the study as it comprehensively examines culturally embedded leadership practices and their interaction with imposed democratic systems. The data involved includes textual and historical records that provide a detailed narrative of leadership evolution, governance challenges and political developments in the respective countries. This approach ensures a thorough and nuanced understanding of the clash between indigenous and Eurocentric governance models.

## Case Studies

This section examines the prolonged leadership tenures of Robert Mugabe in Zimbabwe, Yoweri Museveni in Uganda and Paul Kagame in Rwanda, focusing on how indigenous African cultural leadership paradigms influenced their rule. This analysis highlights the intersection of traditional norms and modern political challenges in these nations by exploring their leadership styles and the cultural factors underpinning their actions.

- ***Zimbabwe: Examination of Mugabe's Prolonged Rule and The Cultural Factors Contributing to His Refusal to Relinquish Power***

Robert Mugabe, a Zimbabwean politician, served as the country's prime minister from 1980 to 1987 and as its president from 1987 to 2017 (Mandaza, 2017). For 37 years, Mugabe maintained his grip on power despite widespread criticism of his authoritarian regime, declining economic conditions and international isolation (Bekker, 2017). Mugabe's prolonged rule and refusal to relinquish power, which eventually prompted a military coup in November 2017 leading to his resignation and the ascension of his longtime ally, Emmerson Mnangagwa, as president, can be seen as influenced by indigenous African cultural leadership systems (BBC, 2017).

Several factors contributed to Mugabe's ability to remain in power, including military support, media control and election manipulation (Bekker, 2017). However, his leadership style also mirrored traditional African cultural practices of royalty, lineage and lifetime rulership, which are contrary to democratic principles of regular elections and term limits. Mugabe's reliance on his ruling party, the Zimbabwe African National Union-Patriotic Front (ZANU-PF), to suppress opposition and maintain control is reminiscent of traditional monarchies where loyalty to the ruler is paramount (Mandaza, 2017).

Mugabe's use of violence and intimidation against opposition groups and civil society organisations further exemplifies this connection to traditional leadership practices. The Gukurahundi massacre in the 1980s, in which the government's North Korean-trained Fifth Brigade killed an estimated 20,000 people in the Matabeleland region, is indicative of the

brutal measures historically employed by some African leaders to maintain authority (BBC, 2017). Additionally, Mugabe's patronage system, which rewarded loyalists such as the military and war veterans with land and other privileges, reflects the traditional practice of distributing resources to secure loyalty and support (De Alwis, 2017).

Cultural factors, including Mugabe's belief in his own exceptionalism and the importance of loyalty, further influenced his refusal to step down. He saw himself as the embodiment of the Zimbabwean revolution and believed his rule was essential to preserving its gains, similar to how traditional leaders viewed their roles as custodians of their people and heritage (Mandaza, 2017). This sense of exceptionalism was reinforced by his status as a liberation hero and his role in securing independence from colonial rule (Bekker, 2017).

Loyalty was a crucial cultural value for Mugabe, who demanded unwavering support from his followers and viewed dissent as treachery (De Alwis, 2017). This is exemplified by his decision in 2014 to fire his vice president, Joice Mujuru, for allegedly plotting against him (BBC, 2017). Mugabe also believed he had a spiritual mandate to lead Zimbabwe, arguing that God ordained his rule, a notion that parallels the divine right often claimed by traditional rulers (Mandaza, 2017).

In a nutshell, Mugabe's prolonged rule and resistance to relinquishing power were influenced significantly by traditional African cultural leadership paradigms, which prioritise lifetime rulership and lineage over democratic principles of regular elections and limited terms of office. This cultural influence continues to shape Zimbabwe's political and cultural landscape even after Mugabe's departure from power.

- ***Uganda: Analysis of Museveni's Long-Term Presidency and The Influence of Traditional Leadership Norms***

Yoweri Museveni's long-term Presidency in Uganda has been attributed to various factors, including the influence of traditional norms and customs, his effective use of power and coercion and his ability to appeal to African pride and nationalism. African societies are known for prioritising stability and continuity, with leaders often seen as sources of stability. This belief has been reinforced by the tendency of African societies to prioritise elder statesmen as leaders, even when they are not necessarily the most qualified individuals for the position (Kigen & Boisseau, 2020).

Museveni has capitalised on these traditional beliefs and norms to maintain a tight grip on power. His image as an elder statesman and a father figure has been carefully cultivated, appealing to Ugandans' desire for stability and continuity in leadership (Ismail, 2019). Museveni's position has also been reinforced by his effective use of power and coercion. He has established a patronage system where access to state resources and influence is rewarded with loyalty to the ruling party, the National Resistance Movement (NRM). Moreover, Museveni has been willing to use force when necessary to maintain his position,



as was the case in 2018 when he authorised a crackdown on opposition protesters (Heilman, 2018). This use of force has intimidated opposition leaders and discouraged dissent, further consolidating his hold on power.

However, Museveni's prolonged leadership has faced mounting criticism in recent years, particularly regarding widespread corruption and the suppression of democratic freedoms. Scholars have highlighted how corruption has become deeply entrenched within his administration, with public officials often implicated in misappropriation of funds and resources, undermining the country's governance structures (Nyabuga, 2019). Furthermore, opposition parties and civil society groups have accused Museveni's government of silencing dissent and suppressing opposition voices. These concerns culminated in protests and violence during the 2021 presidential election, which Museveni won amidst allegations of vote-rigging and electoral malpractice (Mwenda, 2021). The election has further eroded Museveni's legitimacy in the eyes of many Ugandans.

- ***Rwanda: Exploration of Kagame's Leadership and the Cultural Implications of His Rule***

Paul Kagame's leadership in Rwanda can be analysed through the lens of indigenous African cultural leadership paradigms, which emphasise strong centralised authority, collective identity and community cohesion. Traditional African leadership systems often draw on principles of unity, shared responsibility and stability, values that Kagame has strategically leveraged in his governance. Indigenous African leadership structures typically involve a central figure who embodies political authority and cultural and spiritual guidance for the community. This leader is often seen as a unifying force, a custodian of tradition and a protector of the community's well-being (Madi, 2018). In Rwanda, pre-colonial leadership structures centered around the monarch, the *mwami*, who was seen as both a political and spiritual leader, and this cultural precedent has influenced Kagame's political approach (Zadi, 2021). Kagame has positioned himself as a symbol of unity and national healing, drawing on the legacy of the Rwandan monarchy and the collective memory of the 1994 genocide to foster a nationalistic ethos focused on reconciliation and economic development (Zadi, 2021).

Kagame's emphasis on national unity aligns with traditional African leadership values that prioritise collective well-being over individual interests. Under his leadership, Rwanda has experienced significant economic growth and social stability, achievements that Kagame attributes to a strong, centralised government capable of making swift decisions for the nation's progress (Rwigema, 2022). In this regard, Kagame's leadership mirrors traditional African systems where the leader's authority is often unquestioned and decisions are made for the good of the entire community. His approach emphasises top-down governance and a strong state, echoing the role of traditional leaders who held significant control over their societies' political and social structures.

However, Kagame's governance also intersects with modern political challenges, especially the tension between traditional values of leadership and democratic norms. While Kagame's leadership model has been effective in maintaining stability and fostering development, his decision to extend his presidency through a 2015 constitutional amendment that abolished term limits has sparked debates about the limits of traditional leadership paradigms in contemporary Africa (Kiwuwa, 2020). Critics argue that Kagame's extension of power reflects a move towards authoritarianism, borrowing from the traditions of lifelong rulership seen in some pre-colonial African societies, where power was passed down or maintained within a single lineage (Madi, 2018). This move has led to concerns that Kagame is perpetuating a centralisation of power that undermines democratic principles, a challenge many African leaders face as they balance traditional leadership structures with modern democratic frameworks.

Furthermore, Kagame's leadership has also been shaped by the need to navigate Rwanda's post-genocide context. The cultural imperative of national reconciliation and the desire to restore social order after the 1994 genocide have been central to Kagame's approach. This is in line with traditional African leadership values that emphasise the role of leaders as peacekeepers and moral authorities within their communities. Kagame's efforts to unite Rwandans across ethnic lines and to emphasise "Rwandan" identity over ethnic divisions reflect indigenous leadership practices that sought to maintain harmony and social cohesion (Kiwuwa, 2020). However, this drive for unity has often been criticised for limiting political opposition and curbing freedoms, as dissent is seen as a threat to national harmony, much like how traditional leaders in pre-colonial African societies could suppress dissent to maintain community stability.

## **Discussion**

This section explores into the synthesis of findings from case studies and theoretical insights, analyses the conflict between traditional leadership practices and imposed democratic systems and explores the implications for political stability and governance in Africa.

### **Synthesis of Findings from Case Studies and Theoretical Insights**

The imposition of European democratic systems in Africa is a central factor contributing to the prevalence of coups and the widespread disregard for democratic principles across the continent. Traditional African leadership paradigms, which emphasise bloodline succession, lineage, lifetime rulership, loyalty and ethnic or tribal identity, are deeply embedded in the sociopolitical fabric of many African societies. These indigenous systems prioritise stability, continuity and communal loyalty, often in direct conflict with the democratic ideals of regular elections, term limits and individual political rights introduced



during the colonial era. The actions of leaders like Robert Mugabe, Yoweri Museveni and Paul Kagame illustrate the ongoing struggle to reconcile these conflicting paradigms.

Mugabe's long tenure in Zimbabwe was heavily influenced by traditional concepts of royalty and lifetime rule, where the leader is viewed as a permanent figurehead, akin to a monarch, rather than a temporary elected official. This cultural backdrop made it difficult for Mugabe to relinquish power voluntarily, leading to political instability and ultimately a military-assisted transition in 2017. Similarly, Museveni has exploited traditional norms of elder statesmanship and stability in Uganda to justify his extended presidency. Aligning himself with the cultural expectation that leaders should provide long-term guidance and maintain societal stability, Museveni has marginalised democratic processes, including free and fair elections and peaceful power transfer. His use of patronage and coercion has entrenched this traditional approach, demonstrating the friction between indigenous leadership practices and the democratic model imposed by colonial rulers.

In Rwanda, Kagame's leadership exemplifies the powerful influence of indigenous cultural norms. Kagame has positioned himself as a unifying figure, leveraging Rwanda's pre-colonial traditions to foster national reconciliation and development. However, his extended rule, facilitated by constitutional amendments, reflects a return to lifetime leadership, similar to the hereditary systems of traditional African governance. While contributing to national stability, Kagame's use of centralised authority has raised concerns about the erosion of democratic norms and the limitations placed on political opposition. These cases highlight the enduring tension between colonial-imposed democratic structures and indigenous leadership systems, often manifesting in political instability and authoritarian practices. Coups, as a response to this tension, can be seen as a symptom of the deeper conflict between imposed democratic ideals and the traditional leadership norms many African societies still identify with.

### **Analysis of the Conflict Between Traditional Leadership Practices and Imposed Democratic Systems**

The conflict between traditional leadership practices and imposed democratic systems is evident in the way leaders like Mugabe, Museveni and Zuma navigate their political environments. Traditional African leadership, characterised by hereditary succession and lifetime rulership, often clashes with the democratic principles of electoral accountability and term limits. In Zimbabwe, Mugabe's reliance on traditional forms of leadership enabled his prolonged tenure, yet it conflicted with the democratic aspirations of the general public, leading to political instability and his eventual ousting.

Museveni's presidency in Uganda exemplifies the use of traditional norms to legitimise long-term rule while undermining democratic processes through electoral manipulation and repression of dissent. Kagame's leadership in Rwanda has drawn on traditional authority to consolidate power and ensure stability, but it has also faced criticism for



eroding democratic norms. While his regime has promoted national unity and economic growth, his control over power, bypassing term limits and suppressing opposition, highlights the tension between traditional leadership and democratic systems. This approach reflects the ongoing struggle to balance traditional authority with modern democratic ideals, offering both opportunities for nation-building and challenges to political pluralism.

### **Implications for Political Stability and Governance in Africa**

The integration of traditional leadership practices with modern democratic systems presents both challenges and opportunities for political stability and governance in Africa. On one hand, the use of traditional norms can enhance legitimacy and stability, particularly in societies where these practices are deeply rooted. Leaders like Museveni have effectively used these norms to maintain control and ensure continuity. However, the same reliance on traditional practices can also lead to authoritarianism, as seen with Mugabe, where the suppression of democratic freedoms and manipulation of electoral processes result in political instability and social unrest.

In Rwanda, Kagame's leadership demonstrates how elements of traditional authority can be incorporated within a modern political framework to maintain unity and stability. His approach has fostered national development, but the concentration of power and restrictions on political opposition reflect the delicate balance needed to integrate traditional leadership without compromising democratic values. This strategy showcases both the benefits of traditional authority in promoting cohesion and the risks of undermining democratic norms.

Overall, the intersection of traditional African leadership paradigms and modern democratic systems remains a significant factor in shaping political stability and governance in Africa. While traditional practices can provide a foundation of legitimacy and continuity, their integration into democratic systems requires careful balancing to uphold democratic norms and prevent authoritarian tendencies. Future governance strategies in Africa must consider these cultural dimensions to foster stable, inclusive and democratic societies.

### **Recommendations**

The study makes the following recommendations:

#### **Integrating Traditional Leadership Practices with Democratic Systems**

Governments should enact policies recognising traditional leaders within modern governance, fostering formal dialogue between them and elected officials. Moving beyond Eurocentric models, integration of indigenous knowledge into democratic frameworks is



vital. This shift acknowledges past failures, aiming to align traditional practices with democratic ideals. Ensuring equal participation in decision-making fosters collaboration and accountability. Education programs empower traditional leaders with democratic principles, nurturing mutual cooperation with elected officials.

### **Strategies for Promoting Democratic Governance**

To promote democratic governance and prevent unconstitutional term extensions, legislation should enforce term limits and include safeguards against manipulative constitutional amendments. Empowering independent electoral commissions to oversee fair elections and monitor attempts at electoral manipulation is essential. Civil society and media should engage in advocacy for transparency and accountability, while international partners can offer technical support to strengthen democratic institutions. Civic education initiatives are also crucial to fostering a culture of democratic participation and respect for the rule of law.

### **Regional Cooperation in Supporting Democratic Governance**

Regional bodies such as the Southern African Development Community (SADC) and the African Union (AU) play a crucial role in supporting democratic governance and addressing election rigging. Practical steps include facilitating peer review mechanisms among member states to assess adherence to democratic principles and promoting dialogue and mediation efforts to resolve political disputes peacefully. SADC and AU should also coordinate efforts to provide electoral observation missions and technical assistance to member states during elections, ensuring transparency and credibility in the electoral process. However, when dialogue fails to yield results, punitive measures should be considered to compel adherence to democratic norms, while respecting the sovereignty of each nation.

### **Strengthening Rule of Law and Judicial Independence**

To strengthen the rule of law and judicial independence, governments should prioritise reforms to improve the judiciary's independence and integrity. Practical steps include appointing judges through transparent and merit-based processes, providing adequate resources to courts to expedite case resolution and implementing mechanisms to safeguard judicial independence from political interference. Additionally, legal education programs can be expanded to enhance public awareness of legal rights and avenues for seeking redress, promoting public trust in the judicial system and the rule of law.

### **Empowering Civil Society and Promoting Media Freedom**

Governments should take proactive measures to empower civil society organisations and promote media freedom as essential pillars of democratic governance. Practical steps include enacting laws that protect freedom of expression and assembly, ensuring the safety and security of journalists and activists and providing financial and technical support



to civil society groups working to promote democratic values and human rights. Furthermore, governments should engage in constructive dialogue with civil society stakeholders to address grievances and concerns, fostering an inclusive and participatory democratic culture.

## Conclusion

As the pages of history turn and the political landscapes of Africa evolve, one constant remains: the complex relationship between traditional African leadership and the democratic systems imposed on the continent. By exploring the nuanced narratives of influential figures like Robert Mugabe, Yoweri Museveni and Paul Kagame, this study goes beyond mere recounting individual tales to illuminate the enduring influence of centuries-old cultural norms in positions of power. These case studies reveal the ongoing struggle between entrenched traditions and imported democratic ideals, showcasing the intricate complexities of African governance and leadership. The insights collected from this study afford understanding and offer a roadmap towards a future wherein cultural heritage and democratic principles can seamlessly coalesce.

It is imperative to perceive this study not as an end but as a genesis - an entreaty to delve deeper into exploration, augment understanding and galvanise substantive action. At the nexus of tradition and democracy lies a rich terrain ripe for further scholarly inquiry. Future research initiatives could delve into nuanced analyses of the impact of cultural norms on political leadership, exploring mechanisms for improving the compatibility between traditional practices and democratic systems. Such endeavours hold promise in illuminating pathways towards a more luminous, equitable future for Africa and its population.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge TUT University's Senate Committee for Research and Innovation (SCRI) for funding this research project.

## References

Adewale, A., & Schepers, S. (2023). African Pre-Colonial Social and Political Structures. In *Reimagining Africa: Lifting the Veil of Ignorance* (pp. 67-90). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Africa Center for Strategic Studies. (2019). Presidential Tenure in Africa: Length of Rule and Democratic Decline. [online] Available at: <https://africacenter.org/spotlight/presidential-tenure-africa-length-rule-democratic-decline/> (Accessed 17 May. 2024).



- African Union. (2018). African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Governance. [online] Available at: [https://au.int/sites/default/files/treaties/7779-treaty-0045 -\\_african\\_charter\\_on\\_democracy\\_elections\\_and\\_governance.pdf](https://au.int/sites/default/files/treaties/7779-treaty-0045_-_african_charter_on_democracy_elections_and_governance.pdf) (Accessed 17 May 2024).
- Aliye, A. A. (2020). African indigenous leadership philosophy and democratic governance system: Gada's intersectionality with Ubuntu. *Journal of Black Studies*, 51(7), 727-759.
- Almond, G. A., & Verba, S. (1963). *The civic culture: Political attitudes and democracy in five nations*.
- Asuelime, L. E. (2018). A Coup or not a Coup: That is the Question in Zimbabwe. *Journal of African Foreign Affairs*, 5(1), 5-24.
- Ayittey, G. (Ed.). (2016). *Africa unchained: The blueprint for Africa's future*. Springer.
- Bekker, S. (2017). Zimbabwe: Mugabe's Long Rule. In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History. doi: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.013.129
- Benjamin, K. T. (2024). French Colonial Policies in West Africa: Power Dynamics, Cultural Impositions and Economic Legacies. *International Journal of Advances in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(1), 8-17.
- Bhabha, H. K. (2012). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Chlouba, V. (2024). African traditional institutions and support for democracy. *Democratisation*, 1-26.
- Heilman, E. (2018). Uganda bans rallies, police beat opposition lawmakers in crackdown. Reuters. Available at: <https://www.reuters.com/study/us-uganda-politics/uganda-bans-rallies-police-beat-opposition-lawmakers-in-crackdown-idUSKBN1JZ1J3> (Accessed 13 January 2024).
- Hyden, G. (2012). *African politics in comparative perspective*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ismail, R. (2019). Uganda's Museveni: Africa's 'big man' in power. Al Jazeera. Available at: <https://www.aljazeera.com/features/2019/2/7/ugandas-museveni-africas-big-man-in-power> (Accessed 15 April 2024).
- Jackson, R. H., Jackson, R. H., & Rosberg, C. G. (1982). *Personal rule in Black Africa: prince, autocrat, prophet, tyrant*. Univ of California Press.
- Kigen, T. K., & Boisseau, T. J. (2020). African political leadership. In D. K. Coffey & D. F. Weisburd (Eds), *The Handbook of Comparative Criminology* (pp. 581-595). John Wiley & Sons.

- Kiwuwa, D. E. (2020). The Battle for Term Limits in Rwanda. *The Politics of Challenging Presidential Term Limits in Africa*, 37-64.
- Kurebwa, J. (2020). The capture of traditional leaders by political parties in Zimbabwe for political expediency. In *Civic engagement in social and political constructs* (pp. 196-219). IGI Global.
- Madi, M. A. (2018). The 'Zuma must go' debate and African leadership conundrum. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 12(6), 57-63.
- Mamdani, M. (1996). From Conquest to Consent as the Basis of State Formation: Reflection on Rwanda. *New Left Review*, 3-36.
- Mandaza, I. (2017). Zimbabwe: A Crisis of Governance. *Journal of Democracy*, 28(2), 38-52. doi: 10.1353/jod.2017.0017
- Manthwa, A., & Ntsoane, L. (2024). The Interplay between Hereditary Traditional Leaders, Democratically Elected Leaders and Succession: A Case Study from Makapanstad, North West Province, South Africa. *Journal of African Law*, 1-12.
- Meredith, M. (2002). *Our votes, our guns: Robert Mugabe and the tragedy of Zimbabwe*. Public Affairs.
- Muntschick, J. (2018). *The Southern African Development Community (SADC) and the European union (EU): regionalism and external influence*. Springer International Publishing.
- Mwenda, A. (2021). Museveni's presidency after 35 years. *The East African*. Available at: <https://www.theeastafrican.co.ke/tea/opinion/museveni-presidency-after-35-years-3280368> (Accessed 17 May 2024).
- Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2013). *Coloniality of power in post-colonial Africa*. African Books Collective.
- Neswiswa, K. G., & Jacobs, S. (2024). Hearing the voices of Black Africans: Essential components for culturally relevant marriage enrichment programs in South Africa. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 50(2), 407-433.
- Ngcayisa, L. (2021). Developmental authoritarianism in Africa: the cases of Ethiopia, Rwanda, and Uganda. *Journal of Central and Eastern European African Studies*, 1(3), 93-118.
- Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Sage publications.



- Nwaukwa, N. N. (2024). A Comparative Analysis of Strategies Adopted by British and French Colonial System and Its Effect On West Africa. *GPH-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 7(01), 95-109.
- Nyabuga, G. (2019). Auditor General exposes corruption in Uganda Government. Daily Nation. Available at <https://www.nation.co.ke/news/africa/Auditor-General-exposes-corruption-in-Uganda-Government/1066-5072054-3x4bo2z/index.html> (Accessed 28 May 2024).
- Reyntjens, F. (2016). The struggle over term limits in Africa: A new look at the evidence. *Journal of Democracy*, 27(3), 61-68.
- Rwigema, P. C. (2022). Leadership and governance for economic development. Case of Rwanda. *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 9(2), 1177-1192.
- Schatzberg, M. G. (2001). *Political Legitimacy in Middle Africa: father, family, food*. Indiana University Press.
- Swana, Z. (2020). *Organised Hypocrisy as a pattern of behaviour in the African Union's Peace and Security Architecture: The Solemn Declaration to 'Silence the guns in Africa by 2020'* (Doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa).
- Tripp, A. M. (2010). *Museveni's Uganda: Paradoxes of power in a hybrid regime*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- UNECA. (2019). Coups in Africa: Historical Overview and Current Trends. [online] Available at: [https://www.uneca.org/sites/default/files/PublicationFiles/coups\\_in\\_africa\\_-\\_historical\\_overview\\_and\\_current\\_trends-EN.pdf](https://www.uneca.org/sites/default/files/PublicationFiles/coups_in_africa_-_historical_overview_and_current_trends-EN.pdf) (Accessed 17 May 2024).
- Van de Walle, N. (2001). *African economies and the politics of permanent crisis, 1979-1999*. Cambridge University Press.
- Young, C. (2023). Ethnicity and the colonial and post-colonial state in Africa. In *Ethnic groups and the state* (pp. 57-93). Routledge.
- Zadi, A. P. E. (2021). The Hamite Must Die! The Legacy of Colonial Ideology in Rwanda.